

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/701,261	,	11/03/2003	Jui-Feng Ko	JCLA7806	6081
23900	7590	11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
J C PATEN	•		SHERMAN, STEPHEN G		
4 VENTURI IRVINE, CA		250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2629	
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/701,261	KO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Stephen G. Sherman	2629

	Stephen G. Sherman	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLAC			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued periods:	to or on the same day as filing a No ne following replies: (1) an amendm 2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal	otice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either bo 	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing date of the final reject	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See I		IEN THE FIRST REPLT WAS I	-ICED ANTILINA
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ofmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	od of extension and the corresponding e of the shortened statutory period for re fice later than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The approper eply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of tl	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rej	action, but prior to the date of filing	a brief will not be entered b	ACCOUSE .
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require fur (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NO	ther consideration and/or search (s		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the applicatio appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without cance NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 C)		nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou non-allowable claim(s). 		- -	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmen how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	d is provided below or appended.) 🔲 will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of gwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence fa showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is no 	iled to overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde ecessary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fa nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	planation of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consid ————			ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem13. ☐ Other:	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the limitation to claim 3 that the algorithm is received from an external bus, as opposed to the the previously presented form where the algorithm was received merely from a bus would require further consideration/search, as would the addition of the limitation of receiving the algorithm from an external bus to the independent claims 5 and 7 where this limitation was previously not present. Also, according to the applicant's arguments made the applicant states that the ROm codes would be received on the external bus in the combination made, however, the examienr would like to point out, as stated in the final rejection, that the ROm codes WOULD NOT BE SENT ON THE EXTERNAL BUS. The ROM codes are only used ACCORDING to the algorithm. The examiner stated that the OUTCOME of the algorithm used in the PLL is used to select the ROM codes, then used the Yavitz reference only to show the idea that an algorithm can sent via an external bus, NOT for the Yavitz reference to be bodily incorporated into the Sha reference.

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AND AMOUNT STATEMENT STAT